

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,122	10/20/2003	Tabassum Naqvi	3817.14-1	4234
75	90 10/05/2006		EXAMINER	
Hana Verny			HAQ, SHAFIQUL	
Peters, Verny, J	ones & Schmitt LLP			
Suite 230			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
425 Sherman Avenue			1641	
Palo Alto, CA	94306		DATE 1411 ED 10/06/000	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	. Applicant(s)			
	10/689,122	NAQVI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>		
	Shafiqul Haq	1641			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA (6(a). In no event, however, may a repl (ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	TION.  be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this commun DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		/			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ma	erch 2004.				
<u> </u>	action is non-final.				
	application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		,			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.				
Application Papers		·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached C	office Action or form PTO-15	)2.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	have been received. have been received in App ity documents have been re (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stag	ə		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sun	mary (PTO-413) lail Date			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-8 and 18-19, drawn to a method for measuring IP3 and a kit, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
  - II. Claims 9, 12 and 18-19, drawn to a method for measuring IP3 using a homogeneous format, classified in class 435, subclass 7.9.
  - III. Claims 10, 11 and 13, drawn to a method for measuring IP3, classified in class 435, subclass 7.8.
  - IV. Claims 14, 15 and 20-21, drawn to method for measuring IP3 and a kit, classified in class 435, subclass 7.91.
  - V. Claims 16-17, drawn to compounds, classified in class 436, subclass 544.
- 2. Each of the Inventions of group I-IV is unrelated to each other. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the method of group I requires samples to be treated to block kinases and phosphatases (claim 3) and requires binding protein not more than 600 amino acids and comprises at least amino acids 226-578 of mouse IP3 Type 1, which is not required by any of the groups II-IV. The method of group II requires and ED of from 37 to 60 amino acids derived from β-galactoside, which is not required in any of the method of groups I, III or IV. Group III requires a fluorescer linked to IP3 through a 4 to 20 carbon (claim)

Art Unit: 1641

- 11) atom and detection by fluorescence polarization (claim 10), which is not required by the inventions of group I, II and IV. Method of Group IV requires a chemical reductant such as thiol (claim 14 and 15), which is not required in any of the invention group I-III.
- 3. Inventions of 1) group V and 2) each of the group I-IV is related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of group V can be used in materially different methods of groups I, II, III or IV.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. In addition, the search for each of the distinct inventions of Groups I-V is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference that would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally, the condition for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it will be an undue burden to examine all the inventive Groups in one application.
- 5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are

subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

6. Invention of groups I and V encompasses patentably distinct species. Therefore, where an election of any one of Groups I is made, an election of a single species is further required in accordance with the practice set forth in MPEP 803.02.

That is, in the event of election group I, elect a single species of "detectable label". In the event group V is elected, elect a single species of compound i.e. compound of claim 16 (enzyme labeled) or claim 17 (fluorescer labeled).

Fluorescer label and enzyme label are distinct for having different chemical structures and different chemical and physical properties. Compounds of claim 16 and 17 are distinct for having bound to different compounds that are structurally and functionally distinct.

7. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(1)

## Conclusions

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shafiqul Haq whose telephone number is 571-272-6103. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long V. Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1641

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SHAFIQUIZ HAQ

ART UNIT 1641

LONG V. LE 09/29/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**ART UNIT 1641**